

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION:

William Gurin

Born:

Education: City College of New York (B.A.)
Columbia University (M.A.)
Brooklyn Law School (J.D.)

Telephone: (212) 416-6095



Deputy Corporate Compliance Officer & HIPAA Privacy and Security Officer Company Name: HHC Dates Employed: Feb 2012 – Present Employment Duration: 8 yrs 6 mos Location: 160 Water Street NYC	NYS Workers Comp Bd Fraud Inspector General Company Name: NYS Workers Comp Bd Dates Employed: Mar 2008 – Feb 2012 Employment Duration: 4 yrs	NYS Attorney General Assistant Attorney General Company Name: NYS Attorney General Dates Employed: Sep 2006 – Mar 2008 Employment Duration 1 yr 7 mos
---	--	---



DAVID A. PATERSON
GOVERNOR

STATE OF NEW YORK
WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD
OFFICE OF THE FRAUD INSPECTOR GENERAL
20 PARK STREET
ALBANY, NY 12207

(518) 473-4839
Fax (518) 402-1059



ZACHARY S. WEISS
CHAIR

February 2, 2010

Office of the District Attorney for Queens County
Att: Assistant District Attorney Rosemary Buccheri,
12501 Queens Boulevard
Kew Gardens, NY 11415

Re: Harry's Nurses Registry and Harry Doyielien
Case: IG # 37534

Dear ADA. Buccheri:

We are referring the above-referenced case to your office for possible criminal prosecution pursuant to New York State Penal Law, Sections 155.40 (Grand Larceny in the 2nd Degree); 190.65 (Scheme to Defraud in the First Degree); and New York State Workers' Compensation Law, Section 31 (Illegal Contribution) and for such other charges as you may deem appropriate.

Harry's Nurses Registry (Harry's) is a duly incorporated New York State corporation that provides nurses and other health care personnel for home health service. Harry Doyielien is the President of the corporation and controls the daily operation which includes directing and supervising the placement of health care personnel in particular job assignments and overseeing the hours that they work. Doyielien provides his employee with necessary supplies and requires each nurse or home health personnel to submit reports on forms provided by Harry's.

In February 2006, Harry's obtained state mandated workers' compensation coverage through New York State Insurance Fund (SIF). The policy obtained by Harry's only covered office personnel and did not cover the aforementioned home health personnel. By leaving these healthcare workers without coverage, Doyielien sought to impermissibly reduce his premium below what was otherwise required if the health care workers were counted as employees. An audit by SIF, in 2006, discovered the additional workers and Harry's insurance premiums were increased to include the additional personnel.

Commencing in July 2006, Dovieliien and Harry's deducted \$1 per hour from the payroll checks of each of its home healthcare personnel to purportedly pay the additional premium cost for the workers' compensation coverage. When Harry's personnel complained of the deductions, Dovieliien misinformed his employees that the deductions were mandated by the Workers' Compensation Board. In fact, the exact opposite was true in that an employer may not collect the cost of the premium from his/her employees even if the employee agreed to the deduction. Workers Compensation Law, Section 31, provides in relevant part that:

No agreement by an employee to pay any portion of the premium paid by his employer ...shall be valid, and any employer who makes a deduction for such purpose from the wages or salary of any employee ... shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Here, there was no agreement, only Dovieliien's fraudulent misrepresentations. Collecting money under the guise of paying for increased premiums, Dovieliien and Harry's, over the period from August 2006 through November 2007, fraudulently collected deductions from their healthcare workers totaling more than \$300,000. Evidence of these collections gathered by this office includes payroll checks for the respective periods and interviews with various home healthcare employees of Harry's who uniformly confirmed the illegal deductions.

Please inform us as to your acceptance of the case and, if accepted, its assignment. If not accepted, please return the file to us so that we may pursue alternative remedies. If you have any questions or need any additional information in this matter, please contact Special Assistant Effie McCartney-Donaldson at 718-802-6907 who developed the case for prosecution.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

William Gurin
Inspector General
New York State Workers' Compensation Board

Scott E Jaffer (1947 - 2010)

Scott E Jaffer (1947 - 2010) ?

[Biography](#) [Family Tree](#) [Photos](#) [Comments](#) [Obituary](#) [Followers](#)

Scott E Jaffer was born on March 10, 1947. He died on July 8, 2010 at age 63. We know that Scott E Jaffer had been residing in New City, Rockland County, New York 10956.

[Add to Scott's Bio](#) ?

Scott E Jaffer
1947 - 2010

ADVERTISEMENT BY ANCESTRY.COM

24 Records of Scott Jaffer

- 1 birth record
- 1 death record
- 21 marriage records
- 1 divorce record

[Get records of Scott E Jaffer](#)

ancestry

Search Billions of Historical Records

First Name

Last Name

[Search now](#)

© Hulton Archive / Getty Images

updated February 06, 2019

Scott E Jaffer was born on March 10, 1947. He died on July 8, 2010. We know that Scott E Jaffer had been residing in New City, Rockland County, New York 10956. Scott E Jaffer lived 1 years shorter than the average Jaffer family member when he died at the age of 63.

CRIMINAL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
PART APAR, COUNTY OF QUEENS

put

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF QUEENS

v.

HARRY DORVILIER
HARRY NURSES REGISTRY INC.
DEFENDANTS

2010QN007809



SCOTT E. JAFFER, SHIELD # 025, ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD, OFFICE OF THE FRAUD INSPECTOR, BEING DULY SWORN, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT ON OR ABOUT AND BETWEEN JULY 1, 2006 AND NOVEMBER 30, 2007 AT 88-25 163RD STREET, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK

THE DEFENDANTS COMMITTED THE OFFENSES OF:

- PL 155.35 GRAND LARCENY IN THE THIRD DEGREE (3 COUNTS)
- PL 155.30-1 GRAND LARCENY IN THE FOURTH DEGREE (17 COUNTS)
- WORKERS' COMPENSATION LAW SECTION 31 AGREEMENT FOR CONTRIBUTION BY EMPLOYEE VOID

*Class D
3 Count Felony
Class 1
Felony*

IN THAT THE DEFENDANTS, ACTING IN CONCERT, DID: KNOWINGLY AND UNLAWFULLY STEAL PROPERTY VALUED IN EXCESS OF THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS KNOWINGLY AND UNLAWFULLY STEAL PROPERTY THE VALUE OF WHICH EXCEEDS ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS ; NO AGREEMENT BY AN EMPLOYEE TO PAY ANY PORTION OF THE PREMIUM PAID BY THE EMPLOYER TO THE STATE INSURANCE FUND OR TO CONTRIBUTE TO A BENEFIT FUND OR DEPARTMENT MAINTAINED BY SYCH EMPLOYER OR TO THE COST OF MUTUAL INSURANCE OR OTHER INSURANCE, MAINTAINED FR OR CARRIED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING COMPENSATION AS HEREIN REQUIRED, SHALL BE VALID, AND ANY SUCH EMPLOYER WHO MAKES A DEDUCTION FOR SUCH PURPOSE FROM THE WAGES OR SALARY OF ANY EMPLOYEE ENTITLED TO THE BENEFITS OF THIS CHAPTER.

THE SOURCE OF DEPONENT'S INFORMATION AND THE GROUNDS FOR DEPONENT'S BELIEF ARE AS FOLLOWS:

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS AN ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, AND AS SUCH HE IS FAMILIAR WITH, IS THE CUSTODIAN OF, AND HAS EXAMINED THE REGULARLY KEPT BUSINESS RECORDS AS THEY PERTAIN TO THE DEFENDANTS HARRY DORVILIER AND THE CORPORATION HARRY'S NURSES REGISTRY, INC. DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT THE OFFICE OF THE FRAUD INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATES ALLEGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS AND/OR CORPORATIONS WHO COMMIT FRAUD AGAINST THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE HAS EXAMINED RECORDS THAT ARE MADE AND KEPT IN

DORVILIER, HARRY Q10607504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044

THE REGULAR COURSE OF BUSINESS OF THE OFFICE OF THE FRAUD INSPECTOR GENERAL HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE OIG AND THAT SAID RECORDS REVEALED THAT THE DEFENDANT HARRY DORVILIER IS THE PRESIDENT OF HARRY'S NURSES REGISTRY, INC AND THAT THE DEFENDANT CONTROLS THE DAILY OPERATIONS OF HARRY'S NURSES REGISTRY, INC. WHICH INCLUDES THE DIRECTING AND SUPERVISING OF THE PLACEMENT OF HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL IN VARIOUS JOB ASSIGNMENTS AS WELL AS OVER SEEING THE NUMBER OF HOURS THAT THE HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL WORKED. DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT BASED UPON INTERVIEWS OF THE HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL, THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED EACH OF THE HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL WITH THE SUPPLIES NECESSARY TO PERFORM THEIR JOBS AS WELL AS THE DEFENDANT REQUIRED EACH OF THE HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL IN HIS EMPLOY TO SUBMIT REPORTS ON THEIR WORK ACTIVITY DIRECTLY TO THE DEFENDANT.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE HAS OBTAINED AND REVIEWED RECORDS FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR CORPORATIONS AND THAT BASED UPON A REVIEW OF SAID CORPORATE RECORDS, THE CORPORATION HARRY'S NURSES REGISTRY, INC. WAS INCORPORATED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND AS SUCH IT WAS INCORPORATED TO PROVIDE NURSES AND OTHER HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL FOR PLACEMENT IN THE HOME HEALTH SERVICE.

DEPONENT STATES THAT DURING THE COURSE OF AN INVESTIGATION OF THE DEFENDANTS, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE DEFENDANT APPLIED FOR AND RECEIVED VALID WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE WITH THE NEW YORK STATE INSURANCE FUND ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 7, 2006 WITH WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE FOR OFFICE PERSONNEL AND NOT WORKERS' COMPENSATION COVERAGE FOR THE HOME HEALTH PERSONNEL THAT HE EMPLOYED.

DEPONENT STATES THAT BASED UPON A REVIEW OF PAY CHECKS OBTAINED FROM THE HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD FROM THEIR PAY CHECKS \$1.00 PER HOUR AND CLAIMED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD VALID WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FOR THESE HOME HEALTH CARE PERSONNEL.

DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT THE DEFENDANT DID NOT HAVE WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FOR THE HOME HEALTH PERSONNEL, THE DEFENDANT REDUCED HIS INSURANCE PREMIUM BY DEDUCTING \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM THE PAYROLL CHECKS OF EACH OF THE HOME HEALTH PERSONNEL IN ORDER TO PAY FOR THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FOR THE OFFICE PERSONNEL.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY LEMONIA SMITH THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LEMONIA SMITH THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LEMONIA SMITH THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECK FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH DECEMBER 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LEMONIA SMITH THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$827.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY STEPHANIE EDWARDS THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY STEPHANIE EDWARDS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF

DORVILLE, HARRY Q10607504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044

BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY STEPHANIE EDWARDS THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY STEPHANIE EDWARDS THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,063.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY SAINTE PIERRE HILDEGARDE THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY SAINTE PIERRE HILDEGARDE THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS.

DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY STEPHANIE EDWARDS THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH DECEMBER 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY SAINTE PIERRE HILDEGARDE THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,989.50 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY BENDY PIERRE JOSEPH THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY BENDY PIERRE JOSEPH THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY BENDY PIERRE JOSEPH THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY BENDY PIERRE JOSEPH THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,636.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY IRONE PRATT THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY IRONE PRATT THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY IRONE PRATT THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY IRONE PRATT THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,326.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY MURIEL PHILLIP THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MURIEL PHILLIP THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MURIEL PHILLIP THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MURIEL PHILLIP THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,776.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY ELAINE DIAZ THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELAINE DIAZ THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELAINE DIAZ THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELAINE DIAZ THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$412.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY LENA THOMPSON THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LENA THOMPSON THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LENA THOMPSON THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY LENA THOMPSON THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,594.50 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY VANESSA REYNOLDS THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY VANESSA REYNOLDS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY VANESSA REYNOLDS THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY VANESSA REYNOLDS THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,815.75

DORVILLE, HARRY Q10607504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044
WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY PEARLINE DRYER THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY PEARLINE DRYER THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY PEARLINE DRYER THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY PEARLINE DRYER THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,307.50 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY MERL DANIELS THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MERL DANIELS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MERL DANIELS THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MERL DANIELS THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$407.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY MYRTHA MIRVILLE THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MYRTHA MIRVILLE THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MYRTHA MIRVILLE THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MYRTHA MIRVILLE THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$3,058.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$3,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY GINA OSSE-PROPHETE THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY GINA OSSE-PROPHETE THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY GINA OSSE-PROPHETE THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY GINA OSSE-PROPHETE THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND

THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,672.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY SANDRA DEVAREL THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY SANDRA DEVAREL THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY SANDRA DEVAREL THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY SANDRA DEVAREL THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$3,628.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$3,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY MARIE ARCHER THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MARIE ARCHER THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MARIE ARCHER THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY MARIE ARCHER THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,523.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY RITA BYAS THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY RITA BYAS THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY RITA BYAS THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FOR THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY RITA BYAS THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,168.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY IRLANDE CADET THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY IRLANDE CADET THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY IRLANDE CADET THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED

DORVILLE, HARRY Q10507504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044

BY IRLANDE CADET THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,486.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.)
DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY ALI-EL SULAIMAN THAT HE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH HE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ALI-EL SULAIMAN THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HIM IN THE FORM OF CHECKS.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ALI-EL SULAIMAN THAT ON EACH OF HIS PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HIS CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ALI-EL SULAIMAN THAT HE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, HE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,856.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY ELSA LEGUILLON THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELSA LEGUILLON THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELSA LEGUILLON THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ELSA LEGUILLON THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$3,024.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$3,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY NATASHA DUNCAN THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NATASHA DUNCAN THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NATASHA DUNCAN THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM ON OR ABOUT AND BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NATASHA DUNCAN THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,080.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY NORMA FORMOSO THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NORMA FORMOSO THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS.
DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NORMA FORMOSO THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT

DORVILLE, HARRY Q10607504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044

WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY NORMA FORMOSO THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$2,539.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.


DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS INFORMED BY ANDRENE KNIGHT THAT SHE WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DEFENDANT AS A NURSE AND AS SUCH SHE WENT TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS ASSIGNED BY THE DEFENDANT TO PROVIDE HOME HEALTH CARE. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ANDRENE KNIGHT THAT THE DEFENDANT'S PLACE OF BUSINESS WAS 88-25 163 RD STREET, JAMAICA, COUNTY OF QUEENS, STATE OF NEW YORK AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PAID HER IN THE FORM OF CHECKS. DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ANDRENE KNIGHT THAT ON EACH OF HER PAYCHECKS FROM SEPTEMBER 2006 THROUGH THE YEAR 2007, THE DEFENDANT WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FROM HER CHECK CLAIMING THAT IT WAS FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE. DEPONENT STATES THAT HE IS FURTHER INFORMED BY ANDRENE KNIGHT THAT SHE DID NOT GIVE THE DEFENDANT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, POSSESS OR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER THESE FUNDS AND THAT AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, SHE SUFFERED A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,943.00 WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$1,000.00.

DEPONENT STATES THAT DURING THE COURSE OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE DEFENDANT, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE DEFENDANT AND THE CORPORATION WITHHELD A \$1.00 PER HOUR IN EXCESS OF TWO HUNDRED EMPLOYEES AND THAT THE FINANCIAL GAIN TO THE DEFENDANT AS A RESULT OF THESE ACTIONS WAS IN EXCESS OF \$300,000.00. DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT EACH OF THE ABOVE-MENTIONED EMPLOYEES, WHICH REPRESENTS ONLY A SAMPLE OF THE EMPLOYEES WHO HAD THE \$1.00 PER HOUR WITHHELD STATED THAT THEY ASKED THE DEFENDANT AS TO WHAT AUTHORITY DID THE DEFENDANT AVE TO WITHHELD THESE MONIES AND THE DEFENDANT REPLIED THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD AND THE LAW.

DEPONENT STATES THAT THE DEFENDANT FRAUDULENTLY MISREPRESENTED THAT HE WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD TO WITHHELD \$1.00 PER HOUR FOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE SINCE THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD DOES NOT AUTHORIZE SUCH WITHHOLDING AND FURTHERMORE, THE DEFENDANT IS REQUIRED BY LAW TO MAINTAIN WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FOR HIS EMPLOYEES AND AS SUCH, IT IS THE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PAY THE PREMIUM FOR THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE AND NOT THAT OF THE EMPLOYEE. DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT THE DEFENDANT BY WITHHOLDING SAID MONIES WAS NOT AUTHORIZED AND DID NOT HAVE THE PERMISSION AND AUTHORITY TO WITHHOLD SAID MONIES FROM EACH OF THE EMPLOYEES.

DORVILIER, HARRY Q10607504, REGISTRY INC., HARRY NURSES Q10800044

FALSE STATEMENTS MADE IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE
PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS A MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT
TO SECTION 210.45 OF THE PENAL LAW



DATE SIGNATURE

SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THE
DAY OF

DATE SIGNATURE

Harry's Nurses Registry Inc. has paid the State Insurance Fund Policy: #406555/07 \$
550,968.615. So how can court and attorney asked to pay again ?

This is to inform you that: **Case#1 1:07-cv-04672-NGG-PK-CLAUDIA GAYLE v. HARRY'S NURSES REGISTRY**. THE FAIR LABOR CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AND THE CRIMINAL POSSESSIONS OF THE CORPORATE OFFICERS ARE RELATED. **CASE # 16cv-01765 (AMD)**.

In conclusion both Mr. Bernstein and the Court are fully responsible for the malicious persecutions from the Workers Compensation Board and the District Attorney Office. In fact the nurses are independent Contractors or employees. Harry's Nurses Registry Inc. pays (1099) Policy #14466643 from 02/07/2006-06/19/2007 (see CPA report by Fritznel J. Milfort)

1. **State Insurance Fund Policy** # 406555/07 **\$550,962.61 5**

2/7/06 - 02/07/07	\$382, 599.59
2/7/07- 06/19/07	\$168, 363.02
Total Premiums	\$550,962.61

2. Policy No. WC 531-26-38 013-82-0507-00 from 06-19/2007-06/19/2008-**\$316,537.00** (see attached copies of the checks)

3. **The State Insurance Fund** Index # 406555/07 Settlement from 02/07/06-06/19/2007- **\$180.000.00**.

Total \$1,047,499.61.

People v Harry Dorvilier and Harry's Nurses Registry Inc. **Ind # 1709/2010** .

Mr. Harry Dorvilier and Harry's Nurses Registry Inc. have already paid for all the 1099 which total over one million dollars (\$1,000.000). The